arXiv:1403.6756v1 [math.DS] 26 Mar 2014

REGIONS OF ATTRACTION, LIMITS AND END POINTS OF AN EXTERIOR DISCRETE SEMI-FLOW

J.M. GARCÍA CALCINES, L.J. HERNÁNDEZ PARICIO, M. MARAÑÓN, AND M. TERESA RIVAS RODRÍGUEZ

Abstract. An exterior space is a topological space provided with a quasi-filter of open subsets (closed by finite intersections). In this work, we analyze some relations between the notion of an exterior space and the notion of a discrete semi-flow.

On the one hand, for an exterior space, one can consider limits, bar-limits and different sets of end points (Steenrod, Čech, Brown-Grossman). On the other hand, for a discrete semi-flow one can analyze fixed points, periodic points, omega-limits, et cetera.

In this paper, we see that a discrete semi-flow can be provided with the canonical structure of an exterior space given by the family of right-absorbing open subsets that can be used to study the relation between limits and periodic points and connections between bar-limits and omega-limits. The different notions of end point can be used to decompose the region of attraction of an exterior discrete semi-flow as a disjoint union of basins of end points.

1. Introduction

The homotopy theory of exterior spaces is related to the theory of proper homotopy, shape and strong shape theory and the homotopy theory of pro-spaces and simplicial sets. These theories are interrelated and some homotopy invariant groups are usually considered in order to distinguish spaces or to characterize some homotopy equivalences. For instance, Steenrod, Borsuk-Čech and Brown-Grossman homotopy groups can be given in all these contexts. The theory of exterior spaces has proven to be very useful in the study of topological aspects of several settings such as proper homotopy theory and its numerical invariants or shape theory ([2, 4, 5, 9, 10]).

Recently, some applications of the category of exterior spaces to the study of continuous dynamical systems (flows) have been developed, see [11]. The notion of an absorbing open subset of a dynamical system (i.e., an open subset that contains the "future part" of all the trajectories) gives one of the keys to connect the theory of dynamical systems and the theory of exterior spaces. The family of all absorbing open subsets is a quasi-filter which gives the structure of an exterior space to the flow. The limit space and end space of an exterior space are used to construct the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H20, 37B99, 18B99, 18A40.

Key words and phrases. Discrete semi-flow, periodic point, omega limit, positively Lagrange stable, region of attraction, exterior space, limit space, end point, end space, exterior discrete semi-flow, basin of an end point.

Partially supported by the University of La Rioja PROFAI13/15. The third author has been partially supported by an FPI grant from the Government of La Rioja.

limit spaces and end spaces of a dynamical system. Using end points (of Freudenthal type, see [7]) of a dynamical system, one has an induced decomposition of a dynamical system as a disjoint union of basins of end points.

In [12], the theory of exterior spaces has also been used to construct a $\check{C}_0^{\mathbf{r}}$-completion and a $\check{C}_0^{\mathbf{l}}$-completion of a dynamical system. For a given flow X, two maps $X \to \check{C}_0^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$ and $X \to \check{C}_0^{\mathbf{l}}(X)$ are constructed and, when one of these maps is a homeomorphism, one has the class of $\check{C}_0^{\mathbf{r}}$-complete and $\check{C}_0^{\mathbf{l}}$-complete flows, respectively.

There are many relations between the topological properties of the completions and the dynamical properties of a given flow. In the case of a complete flow, this gives interesting relations between its topological properties (separability properties, compactness, convergence of nets, etc.) and its dynamical properties (periodic points, omega-limits, attractors, repulsors, etc.). These results confirm the importance of the purely topological behavior of a continuous dynamical system in many, radically different in principle, situations (differential equations, non-linear analysis, transformation groups, et cetera). Some topological techniques in dynamical systems were initially introduced by Poincaré [17, 18] and G. D. Birkhoff [1].

Taking into account the deep relations, given in [11, 12], between exterior spaces and continuous dynamical systems, the main general objective of our present study is to find out the relationships between exterior spaces and the dynamical properties of discrete dynamical systems. Discretization processes and the suspension of the Poincaré first return map and other constructions give a nice interdependence of the properties of discrete and continuous dynamical systems. As a consequence, many of the properties, results and applications given in [11, 12] must have a counterpart of notions and results that can be developed and proved for discrete dynamical systems.

It is worth mentioning some differences between continuous flows and discrete semi-flows. In a semi-flow, we are dealing with a semi-group of continuous maps instead of a group of homeomorphisms. This implies that the construction and properties of left omega-limits is quite different to those of right omega-limits. A continuous flow has the nice property that all the points in a trajectory are in the same path component; however, we can not ensure this nice property for discrete semi-flows. These differences have to be taken into account when one analyzes the interrelations between the theory of exterior spaces and the theory of discrete semi-flows. Subsequently, one has some similitudes with the results and tools given in [11], but new (non-analogous) techniques ought to be developed for a better analysis of discrete semi-flows. For instance, it is interesting to remark that, for continuous dynamical systems, an analogue of the Borsuk-Čech invariant $\check{\pi}_0$ has played an important role in order to divide a continuous flow into a disjoint union of basins of end points given by the functor $\check{\pi}_0$. Nevertheless, for discrete semi-flows it is better to change the analogue of functor $\check{\pi}_0$ for the analogue of the Brown-Grossman invariant π_0^{BG}. The use of Brown-Grossman end points is more natural for discrete semi-flows and this technique was not introduced in the previous applications of exterior spaces to continuous flows.

On the one hand, in this paper we have introduced the region of attraction of an externology, the limit and bar-limit of an externology and different notions of end points; at least, one can consider three types of end points which are given

by the analogues of the 0-dimensional homotopy invariants of types: Borsuk-Čech, Steenrod and Brown-Grossman groups.

On the other hand, in dynamic discrete systems one has the usual notion of region of attraction of a right-invariant subset, the omega-limit of a point, periodic points, basins of n-cycles, et cetera.

The techniques presented in this paper give a very nice connection between notions associated to an exterior space and dynamic notions associated to a discrete semi-flow. The regions of attraction of an externology are related to regions of attraction of a right-invariant subset (Theorems 5.1, 5.2), the notion of limit is related to the subset of periodic points (Theorem 7.1), the bar-limit is connected to the notion of omega-limit (Theorem 7.2), the basin of an end point of Borsuk-Čech type is related with the basin of a fixed point and the basin of an end point of Brown-Grossman type is related with the basin of a periodic point and the basin of an n-cycle.

There are other interesting interrelations of exterior spaces and discrete semi-flows that have not been analyzed in our first approach to the study of discrete semi-flows via exterior spaces. But the use of adequate externologies will also permit us to study questions related to sensibility at the initial conditions, stability problems and other dynamical questions connected to higher dimension homotopy groups of exterior spaces.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Exterior spaces.** In this subsection we recall some definitions and properties related to exterior spaces. For a deeper study of the category of exterior spaces we refer the reader to [8], [13].

Given a topological space X with a topology $\mathbf{t}_X$ and a subset $A \subset X$, the closure of A in X is denoted by $\overline{A}$ and the interior by $\mathring{A}$ or $\mathrm{Int}(A)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a topological space. An externology on X is a non-empty collection $\varepsilon(X)$ of open subsets which is closed under finite intersections and such that, if $E \in \varepsilon(X)$ and U is an open subset such that $E \subset U$, then $U \in \varepsilon(X)$. If an open subset is a member of $\varepsilon(X)$, then it is said to be an exterior open subset.*

An **exterior space** *$(X, \varepsilon(X))$ consists of a topological space X together with an externology $\varepsilon(X)$. When it is clear from the context, we will shorten the notation by just writing X instead of $(X, \varepsilon(X))$.*

A map $f : (X, \varepsilon(X)) \to (X', \varepsilon'(X'))$ is said to be an **exterior map** *if it is continuous and $f^{-1}(E') \in \varepsilon(X)$, for all $E' \in \varepsilon'(X)$.*

For a topological space X we can consider the co-compact externology $\varepsilon^{\mathbf{c}}(X) = \{E \subset X \mid X \setminus E \text{ is closed compact}\}$. We denote $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\mathbb{N}$ the exterior spaces determined by the usual topology and co-compact externology in the sets of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$, non-negative real numbers $\mathbb{R}_+$ and natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, respectively.

The category of exterior spaces and exterior maps is denoted by $\mathbf{E}$, and the category of topological spaces and continuous maps by **Top**.

We can consider the functor

$$(\cdot)\bar{\times}(\cdot)\colon \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{E}$$

given by the following construction. Let $(X, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior space and Y a topological space. We consider on $X \times Y$ the product topology and the externology

$\varepsilon(X\bar{\times}Y)$ given by those open subsets $E \subset X \times Y$ such that, for each $y \in Y$, there exists an open neighborhood U_y and $T^y \in \varepsilon(X)$ such that $T^y \times U_y \subset E$. In order to avoid a possible confusion with the product externology, this exterior space will be denoted by $X\bar{\times}Y$.

Given $f, g\colon X \to Y$ in $\mathbf{E}$, it is said that f is exterior homotopic to g if there is an exterior homotopy $H\colon X\bar{\times}I \to Y$ from f to g. Denote by $\pi\mathbf{E}$ and $\pi\mathbf{Top}$ the exterior homotopy category and the usual homotopy category corresponding to $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{Top}$, respectively. Given X, Y two exterior spaces, the set of exterior homotopy classes from X to Y will be denoted by $\pi\mathbf{E}(X, Y)$. Its elements $[f]$ are homotopy equivalence classes of exterior maps $f\colon X \to Y$. Similar notation is used in the case of topological spaces.

We recall that, for a topological space Y, $\pi_0(Y)$ denotes the set of path components of Y and we have a quotient map $Y \to \pi_0(Y)$. Note that a continuous map $f\colon Y \to Y'$ induces a natural map $\pi_0(f)\colon \pi_0(Y) \to \pi_0(Y')$.

An inverse system of sets (or topological spaces) is a functor $Z\colon I \to \mathbf{Sets}$, where I is a directed set and $\mathbf{Sets}$ is the category of sets (resp., considering $\mathbf{Top}$). The functor Z carries $i \geq j$, $i, j \in I$, to $Z^i_j\colon Z_i \to Z_j$. The inverse limit of $Z = \{Z_i\}$ is denoted by $\lim_{i\in I} Z_i$ (or just by $\lim Z_i$). An element of the inverse limit can be represented by an element $(z_i)_{i\in I}$ of the product $\prod_{i\in I} Z_i$ satisfying that $Z^i_j(z_i) = z_j$, $i \geq j$.

We also have that if $\{Y_i\}$ is an inverse system of topological spaces, then $\{\pi_0(Y_i)\}$ is an inverse system of sets and one can consider the inverse limit $\lim_{i\in I} \pi_0(Y_i)$. An element of $\lim_{i\in I} \pi_0(Y_i)$ is given by $(C_i)_{i\in I}$, where C_i is a path component of Y_i such that $Y^i_j(C_i) \subset C_j$ for $i \geq j$. For more results and properties about inverse systems, we refer the reader to [3].

Definition 2.2. *Given an exterior space X, its externology $\varepsilon(X)$ can be considered as an inverse system of topological spaces, and we have the following notions:*

The topological subspace

$$L(X) = \lim \varepsilon(X) = \bigcap_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} E$$

will be called the **limit space** *of X and*

$$\bar{L}(X) = \lim \bar{\varepsilon}(X) = \bigcap_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} \overline{E}$$

will be called the **bar-limit space** *of X.*

The $\check{\pi}_0$**-end set** *of X is given by*

$$\check{\pi}_0(X) = \lim_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} \pi_0(E).$$

The $\check{\bar{\pi}}_0$**-end set** *of X is given by*

$$\check{\bar{\pi}}_0(X) = \lim_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} \pi_0(\overline{E}).$$

The π_0^{BG}**-end set** *of X is given by*

$$\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) = \pi\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{N}, X).$$

The π_0^{S}**-end set** *of X is given by*

$$\pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) = \pi\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{R}_+, X).$$

2.2. **Discrete semi-flows.** Next, we recall some basic notions about discrete semi-flows. These notions can be given for a set or for a topological space.

Definition 2.3. *A* **discrete semi-flow** *on a set (topological space) X is a (continuous) map $\phi\colon \mathbb{N}\times X \to X$ such that:*

(i) $\phi(0,x) = x$, $\forall x \in X$;
(ii) $\phi(n,\phi(m,x)) = \phi(n+m,x)$, $\forall x \in X$, $\forall n,m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that giving a discrete semi-flow (X,ϕ) on (a topological space) X is equivalent to giving a (continuous) map $f = \phi^1\colon X \to X$.

A discrete semi-flow on X will be denoted by (X,ϕ) and, when no confusion is possible, we will use X and $n\cdot x = \phi(n,x)$ for short. Similarly, for a subset $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ we will denote $S\cdot x = \{n\cdot x \mid n \in S\}$.

Given two discrete semi-flows (X,ϕ) and (Y,ψ), a **discrete semi-flow morphism** *$f\colon (X,\phi) \to (Y,\psi)$ is a (continuous) map $f\colon X \to Y$ such that $f(n\cdot x) = n\cdot f(x)$, for every $(n,x) \in \mathbb{N}\times X$. The category of discrete semi-flows will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{N})$.*

Given a discrete semi-flow $\phi\colon \mathbb{N}\times X \to X$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_0 \in X$, we have the induced maps $\phi^{n_0}\colon X \to X$, $\phi^{n_0}(x) = \phi(n_0,x)$ and $\phi_{x_0}\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$, $\phi_{x_0}(n) = \phi(n,x_0)$.

For a discrete semi-flow (X,ϕ), a subset $A \subset X$ is said to be **right-invariant** if $\phi^1(A) \subset A$ and it is said to be **left-invariant** if $(\phi^1)^{-1}A \subset A$. A subset which is left-invariant and right-invariant it is said **completely invariant**.

Given two points $x,y \in X$, we have the following equivalence relation: $x \sim y$ if there are $k,l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi^k(x) = \phi^l(y)$. If $[x]$ is the equivalence class of x, note that $[x]$ is a completely invariant subset. Denote by $X/\!\sim$ the quotient set which has a trivial induced action. The subset $[x]$ of X is the **big orbit** of x and the subset $\mathbb{N}\cdot x$ is the **trajectory** of x.

Definition 2.4. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow and x a point of X.*

(i) *x is a* **fixed** *point if, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n\cdot x = x$.*
(ii) *x is a* **periodic or cyclic** *point if there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq 0$, such that $n\cdot x = x$.*
(iii) *x is a m-***periodic point** *if $m\cdot x = x$.*
(iv) *x is a m-***cyclic point** *if $m\cdot x = x$ and if for $k < m$, then $k\cdot x \neq x$.*

The right-invariant subsets of fixed, periodic, m-periodic and m-cyclic points of X are denoted by $\mathrm{Fix}(X)$, $P(X)$, $P_m(X)$ and $C_m(X)$, respectively. From the definition, it is clear that $C_m(X) \subset P_m(X)$.

A net of a topological space X is denoted by x_i, where we suppose that i describes a directed set. In this paper, $[n,+\infty)$ denotes the subset $\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \geq n\}$ and similarly $(n,+\infty) = \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m > n\}$. The following notions are given for topological spaces with a given semi-flow structure:

Definition 2.5. *For a discrete semi-flow (X,ϕ), the* **omega-limit set of a point** *$x \in X$ (or right-limit set, or positive limit set) is given as follows:*

$$\Lambda(x) = \{y \in X \mid \exists n_i \to +\infty,\ n_i \in \mathbb{N},\ \textit{such that } n_i\cdot x \to y\}.$$

We note that the subset $\Lambda(x)$ admits the alternative definition

$$\Lambda(x) = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} \overline{[n,+\infty)\cdot x}.$$

For a given subspace $S \subset X$, the set $\Lambda(S) = \bigcup_{x\in S} \Lambda(x)$ is called the **omega-limit set** *of S.*

A point $x \in X$ is said to be **Poisson stable** *(or positively Poisson stable) if $x \in \Lambda(x)$. We will denote by* $\mathrm{Poisson}(X)$ *the right-invariant subset of Poisson stable points of X.*

It is easy to check that $\Lambda(x)$ and $\Lambda(X)$ are right-invariant subsets of X and one also has that, for $x \in X$, x and $\phi^1(x)$ have the same omega-limit.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, ϕ) be a discrete semi-flow and let $S \subset X$. Then,*

(i) $\phi^1(\Lambda(x)) \subset \Lambda(x)$,
(ii) $\phi^1(\Lambda(S)) \subset \Lambda(S)$,
(iii) $\Lambda(\phi^1(x)) = \Lambda(x)$.

Remark 2.1. *Observe that, if X satisfies the first axiom of countability (for instance, when X is metrizable), then we can consider sequences instead of nets in definition 2.5.*

Definition 2.6. *Let (X, ϕ) be a discrete semi-flow and $x \in X$. It is said that X is* **Lagrange stable at** *x (or positively Lagrange stable at x) if $\overline{\mathbb{N} \cdot x}$ is a compact subset. X is* **Lagrange stable** *if, for every $x \in X$, X is Lagrange stable at x.*

Definition 2.7. *Let (X, ϕ) be a discrete semi-flow and let $S \subset X$.*
The ***region of pseudo-attraction of*** *S is defined by*

$$PA(S) = \{x \in X \mid \Lambda(x) \subset S\}.$$

The ***region of weak-attraction of*** *S is defined by*

$$WA(S) = \{x \in X \mid \Lambda(x) \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The ***region of attraction of*** *S is defined by*

$$A(S) = \{x \in X \mid \emptyset \neq \Lambda(x) \subset S\}.$$

Obviously, $\mathrm{A}(S) \subset \mathrm{PA}(S)$ and $\mathrm{A}(S) \subset \mathrm{WA}(S)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, ϕ) be a discrete semi-flow and let $S \subset X$. Then,*

(i) *$PA(S) = PA(\emptyset) \sqcup A(S)$;*
(ii) *$A(S) = PA(S) \cap WA(S)$;*
(iii) *$PA(S)$, $WA(S)$, $A(S)$ are completely invariant;*
(iv) *If X is Lagrange stable at every point of $PA(S)$, then*

$$PA(\emptyset) = \emptyset \quad \textit{and} \quad PA(S) = A(S).$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from the definition and (iii) from the identity $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(\phi^1(x))$, for every $x \in X$. (iv) follows from the Lagrange stability of X and the fact that $\Lambda(x)$ is a non-empty compact subset of X, for any $x \in X$. □

3. Natural transformations for limit and end spaces of exterior spaces

In subsection 2.1, for an exterior space, the notions of limit, bar-limit and different sets of end points were introduced. Now, we analyze some relationships between them.

Given an exterior space $(X, \varepsilon(X))$, the canonical maps

$$E \subset \overline{E}, \quad E \to \pi_0(E), \quad \overline{E} \to \pi_0(\overline{E})$$

induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(X) & \xrightarrow{e} & \check{\pi}_0(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{L}(X) & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & \check{\pi}_0(X) \end{array}$$

We can consider the shift map $s\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, given by $s(i) = i + 1$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This exterior map induces the canonical map $S\colon \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, $S([\alpha]) = [\alpha s]$, for a given exterior map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$.

The inclusion map $\mathrm{in}\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ induces a natural transformation $R_X\colon \pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, given by $R_X([\alpha]) = [\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}}]$ for an exterior map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$. Then, we can consider the diagram

$$\pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \xrightarrow{R_X} \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \underset{S}{\overset{\mathrm{Id}}{\rightrightarrows}} \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X),$$

where the image of R_X is the equalizer of the identity and shift maps (see [13]).

Remark 3.1. *In fact, there is a very interesting exact sequence of homotopy groups associated to an exterior space X with a base ray $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \pi_q^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_q^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}-S} \pi_q^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

ending at dimension zero in the above diagram. These higher exterior homotopy invariants are powerful tools for the study and classification of exterior spaces, see [3], [5], [13]. *In the next sections, we will only consider the zero dimensional part of this sequence for the study of end points (and their basins) of an exterior discrete semi-flow.*

The connection between the set of π_0^{S}-end points and the set of $\check{\pi}_0$-end points of an exterior space X is given by the transformation

$$\eta_X\colon \pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \to \check{\pi}_0(X),$$

where η_X is an epimorphism given by $\eta_X([\alpha]) = \check{\pi}_0(\alpha)(+\infty)$ (observe that $\check{\pi}_0(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{+\infty\}$).

There is also a relationship between the sets of $\check{\pi}_0$-end points and π_0^{BG}-end points of X, but we need exterior spaces with some additional conditions.

Definition 3.1. *An exterior space $(X, \varepsilon(X))$ is said to be first-countable at infinity if $\varepsilon(X)$ contains a countable base $E_0 \supset E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \cdots$; that is, each $E_i \in \varepsilon(X)$ and, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E_i \subset E$.*

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(X, \varepsilon(X))$ be a first-countable at infinity exterior space. Then, there is a canonical injective map $\theta_X\colon \check{\pi}_0(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ such that the image of this map is the set $\{a \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \mid S(a) = a\}$. Moreover, the map R_X factorizes as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) & \xrightarrow{R_X} & \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \\ & {\scriptstyle \eta_X} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \theta_X} & \\ & \check{\pi}_0(X) & \end{array}$$

Consequently, for exterior spaces which are first-countable at infinity, $\theta_X\colon \check{\pi}_0(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is the equalizer of the identity and the shift map.

Proof. Since X is first-countable at infinity, we have a countable base: $E_0 \supset E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \cdots$. By the definiton of $\check{\pi}_0(X)$, it follows that $\check{\pi}_0(X) = \lim \pi_0(E_i)$. Therefore an end point $a \in \check{\pi}_0(X)$ can be represented by a sequence of path components $C_0 \supset C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \cdots$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, take a point $x_i \in C_i$ and define a map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$ by $\alpha(i) = x_i$. Then, the map $\theta_X\colon \check{\pi}_0(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is given by $\theta_X(a) = [\alpha]$. It is easy to check that the definition of θ_X does not depend on the chosen base nor the chosen points and that it is injective.

Now if $x_i, x_{i+1} \in C_i$, then there is path $F_i\colon I \to C_i$ from x_i to x_{i+1}. The exterior homotopy $F\colon \mathbb{N}\bar{\times}I \to X$ given by $F(i,t) = F_i(t)$ satisfies that $F(i,0) = x_i$ and $F(i,1) = x_{i+1}$. This implies that $S(\theta_X(a)) = \theta_X(a)$. Conversely, take $\alpha\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$ and suppose that $S([\alpha]) = [\alpha s] = [\alpha]$. Then, there is an exterior homotopy $F\colon \mathbb{N}\bar{\times}I \to X$ from α to αs and we can define an exterior map $\beta\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$ by $\beta(r) = F(E(r), r - E(r))$, where $E(r)$ is the integer part of $r \geq 0$. It is easy to check that $\theta_X(\check{\pi}_0(\beta)(+\infty)) = [\alpha]$. The rest of the proof is left to the reader. □

4. Exterior discrete semi-flows

In this section, we introduce the notion of exterior discrete semi-flow, which combines the notions of exterior space and discrete semi-flow.

Definition 4.1. *Let X be an exterior space. An* **exterior discrete semi-flow** *is a discrete semi-flow $\phi\colon \mathbb{N}\times X \to X$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi^n\colon X \to X$ is exterior (this is equivalent to the simpler condition $\phi^1\colon X \to X$ is exterior).*

An exterior discrete semi-flow X is said to be **d-exterior** *if it satisfies that $\phi_x\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$ is exterior, for every $x \in X$.*

An **exterior discrete semi-flow morphism** *of exterior discrete semi-flows $f\colon X \to Y$ is a discrete semi-flow morphism such that f is exterior.*

Remark 4.1. *The condition which asserts that $\phi_x\colon \mathbb{N} \to X$ is exterior for every $x \in X$ can be replaced by the requirement that $\phi\colon \mathbb{N}\bar{\times}X_{\mathbf{d}} \to X$ is exterior, where $X_{\mathbf{d}}$ denotes the set X provided with the discrete topology. This fact justifies the use of the term "**d**-exterior".*

Denote by $\mathbf{EF}(\mathbb{N})$ the category of exterior discrete semi-flows and by $\mathbf{E^dF}(\mathbb{N})$, the full subcategory of **d**-exterior discrete semi-flows.

We adopt the following notational convention: an exterior discrete semi-flow will be denoted by a triplet $(X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$. Nevertheless, when the action ϕ or the externology are clear on a determined context, we will shorten the notation and we will use $(X, \varepsilon(X))$ or (X, ϕ); moreover, in many cases the notation will be reduced to X.

We have defined above the limit space of an exterior space. In particular, since an exterior discrete semi-flow X is an exterior space, we can consider the limit space $L(X)$ and the bar-limit space $\bar{L}(X)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow. Then, $L(X), \bar{L}(X)$ are right-invariant.*

Proof. Denote $f = \phi^1$. Since f is an exterior map, it follows that $f^{-1}(E) \in \varepsilon(X)$ for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$. Then, one has that

$$f^{-1}(L(X)) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} E\right) = \bigcap_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} f^{-1}(E) \supset \bigcap_{E\in\varepsilon(X)} E = L(X).$$

This implies that $f(L(X)) \subset L(X)$. For $\bar{L}(X)$, the proof is similar using also the fact that if f is continuous, $f(\overline{E}) \subset \overline{f(E)}$ for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$. □

For an exterior discrete semi-flow X, one has that the exterior map $\phi^1 \colon X \to X$ induce the maps $\pi_0^S(\phi^1)$, $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$, $\check{\pi}_0(\phi^1)$, $\check{\check{\pi}}_0(\phi^1)$ that give canonical discrete semi-flow structures on the corresponding sets $\pi_0^S(X)$, $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, $\check{\pi}_0(X)$, $\check{\check{\pi}}_0(X)$. These exterior homotopy invariants can be taken as a set together with a discrete semi-flow structure.

5. The regions of attraction of an exterior discrete semi-flow

Now, suppose that $(X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ is an exterior discrete semi-flow. Consider

$$D(X) = \{x \in X | \phi_x \text{ is exterior}\}.$$

In a similar way, one can define $\bar{D}(X)$ as the set of points $x \in X$ such that, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is $n_E \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $n \geq n_E$, $\phi^n(x) \in \overline{E}$. The subspace $\bar{D}(X)$ has many similar properties to those of the subspace $D(X)$, whose proofs are left to the reader. However, the most sophisticated proofs will be included.

Proposition 5.1. *For an exterior discrete semi-flow $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$, the subspace $D(X)$ is completely invariant and, with the relative externology (i.e., the family of intersections of the form $D(X) \cap E$, $E \in \varepsilon(X)$), is a* **d***-exterior discrete semi-flow.*

Proof. Denote $D = D(X)$. Observe that $\phi_{\phi^1(x)} = \phi_x\, s$, where the shift s, $s(n) = n + 1$, is an exterior map. This implies that, if $x \in D$, then $\phi^1(x) \in D$.

Now, in order to prove that D is left-invariant, suppose that $\phi^1(x) = y$ and $y \in D$. Given an exterior open subset E, there is $n \geq 1$ such that $\phi^m(y) \in E$, for every $m \geq n$. Then, $\phi^k(x) \in E$, for every $k \geq n + 1$. This implies that $x \in D$.

Finally, since X is an exterior discrete semi-flow, taking on D the relative externology, it follows that $\phi^n \colon D \to D$ is exterior, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of D one has that $\phi_x \colon D \to D$ is exterior, for every $x \in D$. Therefore, D with the relative externology is a **d**-exterior discrete semi-flow. □

Definition 5.1. *Suppose that $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ is an exterior discrete semi-flow. The* **d***-exterior discrete semi-flow $D(X)$ is said to be* **the region of pseudo-attraction** *of $\varepsilon(X)$. The exterior discrete semi-flow $\bar{D}(X)$ is said to be* **the region of pseudo-bar-attraction** *of $\varepsilon(X)$.*

Remark 5.1. *Notice that the canonical functor $\mathbf{EF}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbf{E^dF}(\mathbb{N})$, which carries X to $D(X)$, is right adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathbf{E^dF}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbf{EF}(\mathbb{N})$.*

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ is an exterior discrete semi-flow. The following statements follow:*

(i) *$D(X) \subset \bar{D}(X) \subset PA(\bar{L}(X))$;*
(ii) *If (X, ϕ) is Lagrange stable at every point in $\bar{D}(X)$, then $\bar{D}(X) \subset A(\bar{L}(X))$;*

(iii) *If (X,ϕ) is Lagrange stable at every point in $A(L(X))$, then $A(L(X)) \subset D(X)$;*
(iv) *If (X,ϕ) is Lagrange stable at every point in $PA(L(X))$, then $PA(L(X)) \subset D(X)$;*
(v) *If (X,ϕ) is Lagrange stable at every point in $PA(L(X))$ and $L(X) = \bar{L}(X)$, then $D(X) = PA(L(X)) = A(L(X))$.*

Proof. (i) Obviously, $D(X) \subset \bar{D}(X)$. If $x \in \bar{D}(X)$ and $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is $n_E \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[n_E, \infty) \cdot x \subset \overline{E}$. Then, $\overline{[n,\infty)\cdot x} \subset \overline{E}$. This implies that $\Lambda(x) \subset \overline{E}$, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$. Hence, $\Lambda(x) \subset \bar{L}(X)$.
(ii) If (X,ϕ) is positively Lagrange stable at a point x, we also have that $\Lambda(x) \neq \emptyset$.
(iii) Suppose that $x \in \mathrm{A}(L(X))$ and ϕ_x is not exterior. Then, there is $E \in \varepsilon(X)$ and an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ such that $\phi^{n_k}(x) \in X \setminus E$. Since X is Lagrange stable at x, there is a subnet n_{k_i} such that $n_{k_i} \cdot x \to y \in X \setminus E$. But we also have that $y \in \Lambda(x) \setminus L(X)$ and this is a contradiction.
(iv) It can be proved in a similar way.
(v) It is a consequence of previous results and Lemma 2.2 (iv).

□

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that $X = (X,\phi,\varepsilon(X))$ is an exterior discrete semi-flow and (X,ϕ) is Lagrange stable at every point in $PA(\bar{L}(X))$. Then,*

(i) *$PA(\bar{L}(X)) \setminus WA(\bar{L}(X) \setminus L(X)) \subset \bar{D}(X)$,*
(ii) *If, in addition, $WA(\bar{L}(X) \setminus L(X)) = \emptyset$, one has that*

$$A(\bar{L}(X)) = \bar{D}(X).$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that $x \in \mathrm{PA}(\bar{L}(X)) \setminus \mathrm{WA}(\bar{L}(X) \setminus L(X))$ and $x \notin \bar{D}(X)$. Then, there is $E \in \varepsilon(X)$ and an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ such that $\phi^{n_k}(x) \in X \setminus \bar{E}$. Since X is Lagrange stable at x, there is a subnet n_{k_i} such that $n_{k_i} \cdot x \to y \in X \setminus E$. Then, $y \in \Lambda(x) \setminus L(X)$ and $x \in \mathrm{WA}(\bar{L}(X) \setminus L(X))$, which is a contradiction.
(ii) It follows by (i), Theorem 5.1 (i) and Lemma 2.2.

□

6. Basins associated to end points in a region of attraction

We note that, for an exterior discrete semi-flow $X = (X,\phi,\varepsilon(X))$, each trajectory of a point of $D(X)$ has an end point given as follows.

If $x \in D(X)$, we have the exterior map $\phi_x \colon \mathbb{N} \to X$, $\phi_x(n) = \phi(n,x)$, which determines an end point $[\phi_x] \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$.

Then, the following canonical map is obtained

$$\omega \colon D(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X),$$

where $\omega(x) = [\phi_x]$.

Recall the map $\eta_X \colon \pi_0^S(X) \to \check{\pi}_0(X)$ given by $\eta_X([\alpha]) = \check{\pi}_0(\alpha)(+\infty)$.

Definition 6.1. *Let $X = (X,\phi,\varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow.*

- *An end $a \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is said to be* **ω-representable** *if there is $x \in D(X)$ such that $\omega(x) = a$. Denote by ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ the set of ω-representable ends of X.*

- *An end $a \in \check{\pi}_0(X)$ is said to be* $\check{\omega}$**-representable** *if there is $x \in D(X)$ such that, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is $n_E \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying that, for every $n \geq n_E$, $\phi^n(x) \in C_E$, where C_E is the path component of a in E. Denote by ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$ the set of $\check{\omega}$-representable ends of $\check{\pi}_0(X)$ and by $\check{D}(X)$ the set of points $x \in D(X)$ such that there is $a \in \check{\pi}_0(X)$ satisfying that, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is n_E such that, for every $n \geq n_E$, $\phi^n(x) \in C_E$, where C_E is the component of a in E.*
- *An end $a = [\alpha] \in \pi_0^S(X)$, with $\alpha\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to X$ an exterior map, is said to be* $\check{\omega}\eta_X$**-representable** *if $\eta_X(a)$ is* $\check{\omega}$**-representable**. *Denote by ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^S(X)$ the set of $\check{\omega}\eta_X$-representable ends of $\pi_0^S(X)$ and by $D^S(X)$ the set of points $x \in D(X)$ such that there is $a = [\alpha] \in \pi_0^S(X)$ such that $[\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}}] = [\phi_x]$.*

From the definition of $\check{D}(X)$, it easy to see that there is an induced map

$$\check{\omega}\colon \check{D}(X) \to \check{\pi}_0(X)$$

which maps $x \in \check{D}(X)$ to the unique end point $a \in \check{\pi}_0(X)$ such that, for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, there is n_E satisfying that, for every $n \geq n_E$, $\phi^n(x) \in C_E$, where C_E is the component of a in E.

The maps $\pi_0^S(\phi^1)$, $\check{\pi}_0(\phi^1)$ and $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$ induce on $\pi_0^S(X)$, $\check{\pi}_0(X)$ and $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ the structure of a discrete semi-flow (set), respectively. In a similar way, ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^S(\phi^1)$, ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(\phi^1)$ and ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$ induce on ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^S(X)$, ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$ and ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ the structure of a discrete semi-flow (set), respectively.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ is an exterior discrete semi-flow. Then:*

(i) *There are natural inclusions $D^S(X) \subset \check{D}(X) \subset D(X)$, $\check{D}(X)$ is completely invariant and $D^S(X)$ is right-invariant.*
(ii) *The maps $\omega\colon D(X) \to \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, $\check{\omega}\colon \check{D}(X) \to \check{\pi}_0(X)$ are discrete semi-flow morphisms (between discrete semi-flow sets) which induce semi-flow epimorphisms $\omega\colon D(X) \to {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, $\check{\omega}\colon \check{D}(X) \to {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$.*
(iii) *If $\pi_0(\phi^1)$ is injective, then ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$ is injective. If, in addition, ϕ^1 is surjective, then ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ has the structure of a discrete flow set.*
(iv) *The action induced on ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$ is trivial, that is, ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(\check{\pi}_0(X))$.*
(v) *$S\,\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1) = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)\,S$, ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \subset \{a \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \mid S(a) = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)(a)\}$.*

Proof. (i) It is straightforward to check.

(ii) Given $x \in D(X)$, we have $\phi_{\phi^1(x)}(n) = \phi^1\phi_x(n)$. Then, $\omega(\phi^1(x)) = [\phi_{\phi^1(x)}] = [\phi^1\phi_x] = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)[\phi_x] = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)\omega(x)$. There is a similar proof for $\check{\omega}$.

(iii) Suppose that $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)[\phi_x^1] = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)[\phi_{x'}^1]$. Then, we have that $\phi^1(x)$ is in the same path component that $\phi^1(x')$. Taking into account that $\pi_0(\phi^1)$ is injective, we have that there is a continuous path from x to x'. Using this path and an exterior homotopy from $\phi^1_{\phi^1(x)}$ to $\phi^1_{\phi^1(x')}$, we can construct a new exterior homotopy from ϕ_x^1 to $\phi_{x'}^1$.

Given $y \in D(X)$, take $x \in X$ such that $\phi^1(x) = y$. Then, one has that $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)[\phi_x^1] = [\phi_y^1]$. This implies that $\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$ is surjective.

(iv) Just considering that $\check{\omega}(\phi^1(x)) = \check{\omega}(x)$, $x \in D(X)$, it follows that the action is trivial.

(v) If $[\alpha] \in \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, then $S\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)[\alpha] = [(\phi^1\alpha)s] = [\phi^1(\alpha s)] = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)S[\alpha]$. Besides, if $x \in D(X)$, then $S\omega(x) = S[\phi_x] = [\phi^1\phi_x] = \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)\omega(x)$.

□

Definition 6.2. *Given an exterior discrete semi-flow $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$, the subspace denoted by*

$$D_a = \omega^{-1}(a), \quad a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$$

will be called the **ω-basin of** *a.*

The subspace

$$\check{D}_a = \check{\omega}^{-1}(a), \quad a \in {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$$

will be called the **$\check{\omega}$-basin of** *a.*

The subspace

$$D_a^S = D^S(X) \cap \check{D}_{\eta_X(a)}, \quad a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^S(X)$$

will be called the **immediate basin of** *a.*

Definition 6.3. *Let X be an exterior discrete semi-flow.*

- *An end point $a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is said to be an* **ω-attractor** *if its basin D_a is an open subset of X. Denote ${}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) = \{a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \mid a \text{ is an } \omega\text{-attractor}\}$ and ${}^{\omega}_{N}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) = {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \setminus {}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$.*
- *An end point $a \in \check{\pi}_0(X)$ is said to be an* **$\check{\omega}$-attractor** *if its basin $\check{D}_a$ is an open subset of X. Denote ${}_{A}\check{\pi}_0(X) = \{a \in \check{\pi}_0(X) \mid a \text{ is a } \check{\omega}\text{-attractor}\}$ and ${}_{N}\check{\pi}_0(X) = \check{\pi}_0(X) \setminus {}_{A}\check{\pi}_0(X)$.*
- *A point $x \in D(X)$ is* **locally ω-stable** *if there is an open neighborhood U such that $U \subset D(X)$ and, for every $y \in U$, $\omega(y) = \omega(x)$.*
- *A point $x \in \check{D}(X)$ is* **locally $\check{\omega}$-stable** *if there is an open neighborhood U such that $U \subset \check{D}(X)$ and, for every $y \in U$, $\check{\omega}(y) = \check{\omega}(x)$.*

We have the following basic properties which are immediately deduced from the definitions.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow and let $x \in D(X)$. The following statements hold:*

(i) *If the end $\omega(x)$ is an ω-attractor, then x is locally ω-stable.*
(ii) *If $a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ and $x \in D_a$, x is locally ω-stable if and only if $x \in \mathring{D}_a$. If, for every $x \in D_a$, x is locally ω-stable, then a is an ω-attractor.*
(iii) *If the end $\check{\omega}(x)$ is an $\check{\omega}$-attractor, then x is locally $\check{\omega}$-stable.*
(iv) *If $a \in {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)$ and $x \in \check{D}_a$, x is locally $\check{\omega}$-stable if and only if $x \in \mathring{\check{D}}_a$. If, for every $x \in \check{D}_a$, x is locally $\check{\omega}$-stable, then a is a $\check{\omega}$-attractor.*

The maps $\omega, \check{\omega}$ permit us to divide an exterior discrete semi-flow.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow and denote $D = D(X)$, $\check{D} = \check{D}(X)$, $D^S = D^S(X)$. Then, we have the following induced partitions of X:*

$$X = (X \setminus D) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)} D_a \right),$$

$$X = (X \setminus \check{D}) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{a \in {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X)} \check{D}_a \right),$$

$$X = (X \setminus D^S) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^S(X)} D_a^S \right),$$

where $X \setminus D$, $X \setminus \check{D}$ and $\check{D}_a$ are completely invariant and D_a^S is right-invariant.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow. Then:*

(i) *If $a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ and $[a]$ is the completely invariant big orbit of a in ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, then $\bigsqcup_{a\in[a]} D_a$ is completely invariant.*

(ii) *If $\pi_0(\phi^1)$ is injective and $\phi^1 \colon X \to X$ is a surjective open map, then ${}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is completely invariant in ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$, $\bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)} D_a$ is an open completely invariant subset of X and $\bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{N}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)} D_a$ is completely invariant and closed in $D(X)$.*

(iii) *If X is first-countable at infinity, then the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{D}(X) & \xrightarrow{\check{\omega}} & {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_X} \\ D(X) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \end{array}$$

Proof. A simple inspection proves (i) and (iii).

It only remains to check (ii). By Proposition 6.1 (ii)-(iii), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)} \\ D(X) & \xrightarrow[\omega]{} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \end{array}$$

where ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)$ is bijective. This implies that $\omega^{-1}({}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(\phi^1)(a)) = \phi^1\omega^{-1}(a)$, for $a \in {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$. Since ϕ^1 is a continuous open map, it follows that ${}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)$ is completely invariant and also the rest of the assertion. □

Notice that the inclusion $D(X) \subset X$ of exterior spaces induces the transformation $L(D(X)) \to L(X)$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow. Then, $L(D(X)) = L(X)$ (similarly, $\bar{L}(\bar{D}(X)) = \bar{L}(X)$).*

Definition 6.4. *Given an exterior discrete semi-flow $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$,*

$$ {}_{A}D(X) = \bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{A}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)} D_a, \quad {}_{N}D(X) = \bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{N}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X)} D_a $$

are the ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}$**-attracting basin** *and the* ${}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}$**-non-attracting basin**, *respectively. Similarly,*

$$ {}_{A}\check{D}(X) = \bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{A}\check{\pi}_0(X)} \check{D}_a, \quad {}_{N}\check{D}(X) = \bigsqcup_{a\in {}^{\omega}_{N}\check{\pi}_0(X)} \check{D}_a $$

are the ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0$**-attracting basin** *and the* ${}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0$**-non-attracting basin**.

The intersection with the limit sets

$$ {}_{A}L(X) = {}_{A}D(X) \cap L(X), \quad {}_{N}L(X) = {}_{N}D(X) \cap L(X) $$
$$ {}_{A}\check{L}(X) = {}_{A}\check{D}(X) \cap L(X), \quad {}_{N}\check{L}(X) = {}_{N}\check{D}(X) \cap L(X) $$

are the corresponding attracting (non-attracting) limit of X.

In a similar way, we can consider

$$ {}_A\bar{L}(X) = {}_A\bar{D}(X) \cap \bar{L}(X), \quad {}_N\bar{L}(X) = {}_N\bar{D}(X) \cap \bar{L}(X) $$

$$ {}_A\breve{\bar{L}}(X) = {}_A\breve{\bar{D}}(X) \cap \bar{L}(X), \quad {}_N\breve{\bar{L}}(X) = {}_N\breve{\bar{D}}(X) \cap \bar{L}(X) $$

the attracting (non-attracting) bar-limits of X.

Remark 6.1. *In the case that X is the Riemann sphere and ϕ^1 is a non-constant rational map, the notion of attracting end is related to attracting periodic points and the above decomposition and attracting (non-attracting) (bar-)limits are related with Fatou and Julia sets of the rational map.*

Remark 6.2. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow which is first-countable at infinity. In general, the diagram*

$$ \begin{array}{ccc} L(X) & \xrightarrow{e} & \breve{\pi}_0(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta_X} \\ D(X) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & \pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \end{array} $$

is not commutative. Nevertheless, the diagram commutes if we have some additional conditions: "for every $x \in L(X)$ and for every $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, the points $x, \phi^1(x)$ are in the same path component of E" (for instance, when $L(X) \subset \mathrm{Fix}(X)$). Note that, under this condition, e agrees with $\breve{\omega}$ on $\breve{D}(X) \cap L(X)$ and the restriction to $\breve{D}(X) \cap L(X)$ gives a commutative diagram.

In the following proposition, we analyze the last part of the exact sequence given at the end of section 3 when one takes ω-representable ends.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $X = (X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow and consider the restrictions of the natural transformations R_X, η_X to the subsets of ω-representable ends.*

(i) *In the following diagram*

$$ {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{S}}(X) \xrightarrow{{}^{\omega}R_X} {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \underset{{}^{\omega}S}{\overset{\mathrm{Id}}{\rightrightarrows}} {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X), $$

the image of ${}^{\omega}R$ is the equalizer of Id *and ${}^{\omega}S$.*

(ii) *If X is first-countable at infinity, the following diagram is commutative*

$$ \begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\omega}\pi_0{}^{\mathrm{S}}(X) & \xrightarrow{{}^{\omega}R_X} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X) \\ & {\scriptstyle {}^{\omega}\eta_X} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle {}^{\omega}\theta_X} & \\ & {}^{\omega}\breve{\pi}_0(X) & \end{array} $$

where ${}^{\omega}R_X = {}^{\omega}\theta_X \circ {}^{\omega}\eta_X$ is a canonical factorization of a map as the composition of an epimorphism and a monomorphism. In this case, ${}^{\omega}\breve{\pi}_0(X)$ is the equalizer of Id *and ${}^{\omega}S$.*

7. The externology of right-absorbing open subsets

For a given $X = (X, \phi)$ discrete semi-flow, we can consider the externology $\varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$ given by all the open subsets E such that, for every $x \in X$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying that, for $m \geq n$, $\phi^m(x) \in E$. For this externology, one has that $D(X) = X$ and $(X, \phi, \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X))$ is a $\mathbf{d}$-exterior discrete semi-flow.

Definition 7.1. *For a given (X, ϕ) discrete semi-flow, the externology $\varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$ is said to be the* **right-absorbing externology** *of (X, ϕ) (or just the* **right externology***) and an open $E \in \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$ is said to be an $\mathbf{r}$-exterior open subset of X.*

In this section, we consider the following basic properties.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $(X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior discrete semi-flow. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $\varepsilon(X) \subset \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$.
(ii) $(X, \phi, \varepsilon(X))$ *is a* $\mathbf{d}$*-exterior discrete semi-flow.*
(iii) $D(X) = X$.

It is important to note that one has a canonical functor $\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{d}}\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{N})$ which carries (X, ϕ) to $(X, \phi, \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X))$. We will use the reduced notation $X = (X, \phi)$ and $X^{\mathbf{r}} = (X, \phi, \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X))$. Using this canonical construction, one has that all the different constructions (limits, end sets, etc.) given for exterior discrete semi-flows can be applied to a discrete semi-flow.

In next subsections, some relations between constructions associated to an exterior space and dynamic properties of a discrete semi-flow are analyzed. In particular, we see the relation between limits and periodic points, as well as between bar-limits and omega-limits.

7.1. Periodic points.

The relation of the limit space of an exterior discrete semi-flow and the sub-flow of periodic points is analyzed in the following results.

Lemma 7.2. *If X is a $\mathbf{d}$-exterior discrete semi-flow, then $P(X) \subset L(X)$. In particular, if X is a discrete semi-flow, then $P(X) \subset L(X^{\mathbf{r}})$.*

Proof. Take a periodic point x and an arbitrary $E \in \varepsilon(X)$. Then, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n, \infty) \cdot x \subset E$. Since x is periodic, $(n, \infty) \cdot x = \mathbb{N} \cdot x$ and, taking into account that $x \in \mathbb{N} \cdot x$, we have that $x \in E$. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow and suppose that X is a T_1-space. Then, for every $x \in X$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *x is a non-periodic point.*
(ii) *$X \setminus \{x\}$ is an $\mathbf{r}$-exterior subset of X.*

Proof. In order to prove that (i) implies (ii), take $y \in X$; if $(\mathbb{N} \cdot y) \cap (\mathbb{N} \cdot x) = \emptyset$, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n, \infty) \cdot y \subset X \setminus \{x\}$. If $(\mathbb{N} \cdot y) \cap (\mathbb{N} \cdot x) \neq \emptyset$, considering that x is not periodic, one can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n, \infty) \cdot y \subset X \setminus \{x\}$. Then, one has that $X \setminus \{x\} \in \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$. Conversely, suppose that x is a periodic point. By Lemma 7.2 above, $X \setminus \{x\}$ is not $\mathbf{r}$-exterior. □

Using these two lemmas, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow and suppose that X is a T_1-space. Then*

$$P(X) = L(X^{\mathbf{r}}).$$

Proof. Let $x \in X \setminus P(X)$. Then, by Lemma 7.3, one has that $X \setminus \{x\} \in \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$ and

$$P(X) = X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{x \notin P(X)} \{x\} \right) = \bigcap_{x \notin P(X)} X \setminus \{x\} \supset \bigcap_{E \in \varepsilon^{\mathbf{r}}(X)} E = L(X^{\mathbf{r}}).$$

Now, the result follows from Lemma 7.2. □

Taking into account the theorem above, if X is a discrete semi-flow and X is a T_1 space, then we also have that

$$L(X^{\mathbf{r}}) = P(X) \subset \mathrm{Poisson}(X) \subset \Lambda(X^{\mathbf{r}}) \subset X.$$

7.2. **Limits and omega-limits.** In the following result, we analyze the relationship between the omega-limit and the bar-limit induced by an externology.

Lemma 7.4. *If X is an exterior discrete semi-flow, then*

$$\Lambda(D(X)) \subset \Lambda(\bar{D}(X)) \subset \overline{\Lambda(\bar{D}(X))} \subset \bar{L}(X).$$

Proof. If $E \in \varepsilon(X)$, then, for every $x \in \bar{D}(X)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n, \infty) \cdot x \subset \overline{E}$ and therefore $\overline{(n, \infty) \cdot x} \subset \overline{E}$. By definition, this implies that $\Lambda(x) \subset \bar{L}(X)$, for every $x \in \bar{D}(X)$. Hence, $\Lambda(\bar{D}(X)) \subset \bar{L}(X)$. Taking into account that $\bar{L}(X)$ is a closed subset, we also have $\overline{\Lambda(\bar{D}(X))} \subset \bar{L}(X)$. □

And now, let us present some technical results.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $(X, \varepsilon(X))$ be an exterior space and $x \in X$. Then, there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x \in \varepsilon(X)$ if and only if $x \notin \bar{L}(X)$.*

Proof. If $X \setminus \overline{V}_x \in \varepsilon(X)$, then, taking into account that $V_x \cap (X \setminus \overline{V}_x) = \emptyset$, we have that $x \notin \overline{X \setminus \overline{V}_x}$. Since $X \setminus \overline{V}_x \in \varepsilon(X)$, it follows that $x \notin \bigcap_{E \in \varepsilon(X)} \overline{E} = \bar{L}(X)$.

Conversely, if $x \notin \bar{L}(X)$, then there exists $E \in \varepsilon(X)$ such that $x \notin \overline{E}$. Now, taking $V_x = X \setminus \overline{E} = \mathrm{Int}(X \setminus E)$, we have that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x = \mathrm{Int}(X \setminus V_x) = \mathrm{Int}(\overline{E}) \supset E$. Consequently, $X \setminus \overline{V}_x \in \varepsilon(X)$. □

Lemma 7.6. *Let X be an exterior discrete semi-flow, let $\varepsilon(X)$ be its externology and let $x \in X$. If there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x \in \varepsilon(X)$, then $x \notin \overline{\Lambda(\bar{D}(X))}$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 7.5 and Lemma 7.4. □

Proposition 7.1. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow and $x \in X$. If there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x$ is $\mathbf{r}$-exterior, then $x \notin \overline{\Lambda(X)}$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 7.6 and Lemma 7.1. □

Lemma 7.7. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow which is a locally compact regular space. If $x \notin \overline{\Lambda(X)}$, then there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x$ is $\mathbf{r}$-exterior.*

Proof. Suppose that $x \notin \overline{\Lambda(X)}$. Since X is locally compact, there is a compact neighborhood K at x such that $K \cap \Lambda(X) = \emptyset$. Take $y \in X$ and assume that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(m, \infty) \cdot y \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Then, there is a sequence $m_n \to +\infty$ such that $m_n \cdot y \in K$. Being K compact, one can take a subnet $m_{n_i} \to +\infty$ such that $m_{n_i} \cdot y \to u \in K$. This fact implies that $u \in K \cap \Lambda(y) \subset K \cap \Lambda(X)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m, \infty) \cdot y \cap K = \emptyset$. By the regularity of X, there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $\overline{V}_x \subset K$ and $X \setminus \overline{V}_x$ is $\mathbf{r}$-exterior. □

Corollary 7.1. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow. If X is a locally compact regular space, then $\bar{L}^{\mathbf{r}}(X) \subset \overline{\Lambda(X)}$.*

Proof. If $x \notin \overline{\Lambda(X)}$, by the lemma above there exists an open neighborhood V_x at x such that $X \setminus \overline{V}_x$ is $\mathbf{r}$-exterior. By Lemma 7.5, it follows that $x \notin \bar{L}^{\mathbf{r}}(X)$. □

By the corollary above and Lemma 7.4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7.2. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow. If X is a locally compact regular space, then $\bar{L}(X^{\mathbf{r}}) = \overline{\Lambda(X)}$.*

Corollary 7.2. *Let X be a discrete semi-flow. If X is a locally compact T_3 space, then*

$$L(X^{\mathbf{r}}) = P(X) \subset \text{Poisson}(X) \subset \Lambda(X) \subset \overline{\Lambda(X)} = \bar{L}(X^{\mathbf{r}}).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorems 7.1 and 7.2. □

8. Other externologies and examples

If we take a right-invariant subset S of a discrete semi-flow X, new families of externologies can be analyzed: for instance, we can consider the externology $\epsilon(X, S)$ formed by all the open neighborhoods of S in X.

As an application of the notions and constructions developed in this paper, we analyze the decompositions given by externologies induced by the right-invariant subsets of 1-periodic (fixed) points P_1 and 2-periodic points P_2.

In the following example, we take on the Riemann sphere $X = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ the discrete semi-flow induced by the polynomial function $h(z) = z^2 - 1$ ($h(\infty) = \infty$).

The fixed points of h are ∞ and the two golden numbers $p_1 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $p_2 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. In this case, we can consider the exterior discrete semi-flow $X_1 = (\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, h, \epsilon(X, P_1))$ and the induced map

$$\omega_1 \colon D(X_1) \to {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_1)$$

from the region of attraction $D(X_1)$ to the set of omega-representable end points of Brown-Grossman type. In this case, one has a canonical isomorphism $P_1 \cong {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_1)$.

The externology $\epsilon(X, P_1)$ induces a decomposition of the following form:

$$X = (X \setminus D(X_1)) \sqcup \omega_1^{-1}(\infty) \sqcup \omega_1^{-1}(p_1) \sqcup \omega_1^{-1}(p_2),$$

which can be seen in the Figure 1.

The basin of ∞ is displayed using brown color, the golden numbers are repulsing points and its basins are not visible in the figure on the left. Nevertheless, when we

apply a zoom effect, it is possible to see some points in the basins of the end points associated to the golden numbers: the central point in the figure on the right is a point of the basin of a golden number p_1. The black color corresponds to points which are not in basins of fixed points; that is, to $X \setminus D(X_1)$.



FIGURE 1. On the left, the basin of the attracting infinity point (brown) and, on the right, a point of the basin of the repelling fixed point p_1.

When the 2-periodic points of h are studied, one obtains: $P_2 = \{\infty, -1, p_1, 0, p_2\}$. The cyclic point -1 generates the Brown-Grossman end point represented by the sequence $(-1, 0, -1, 0, \dots)$ and the cyclic point 0 induces the end point represented by $(0, -1, 0, -1 \dots)$. For the exterior discrete semi-flow $X_2 = (\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, h, \epsilon(X, P_2))$ and the induced map

$$\omega_2 \colon D(X_2) \to {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_2)$$

from the region of attraction $D(X_2)$ to the set of omega-representable end points of Brown-Grossman type, one has a canonical isomorphism $P_2 \cong {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_2)$. For X_2, it is interesting to check that $P_1 \cong {}^{\omega}\check{\pi}_0(X_2) \cong {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{S}(X_2)$.

To compare the decompositions induced by P_1 and P_2, one has to analyse the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\omega_1} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(X_2) & \xrightarrow{\omega_2} & {}^{\omega}\pi_0^{\mathrm{BG}}(X_2) \end{array}$$

where $D(X_1) \to D(X_2)$ is the inclusion:

$$\omega_1^{-1}(\infty) \sqcup \omega_1^{-1}(p_1) \sqcup \omega_1^{-1}(p_2) \subset \omega_2^{-1}(\infty) \sqcup \omega_2^{-1}(-1) \sqcup \omega_2^{-1}(p_1) \sqcup \omega_2^{-1}(0) \sqcup \omega_2^{-1}(p_2)$$

It is interesting to note that, in Figure 2, the black part associated to ω_1 has been divided into the union of the basins of the new 2-cyclic attracting points of h. The immediate basins D_{-1}^S and D_0^S form the path component of the basin containing the 2-cyclic points -1 and 0, respectively.



FIGURE 2. The basins of the new 2-cyclic points correspond to the black part of Figure 2.

FIGURE 3. The basins of $D(X_1)$ and $D(X_2)$ with new colors on the Riemann sphere.

9. Future applications

The authors think that it will be interesting to develop a deeper study of externologies associated to a right-invariant subspace S of a discrete semi-flow X given by all the open neighborhoods of S in X. In this sense, some initial results can be seen in [14]. The results obtained for the example given in section above suggest that some of the particular properties analyzed can be proven for more general discrete semi-flows. For externologies given by open neighborhoods of an invariant subset S, one can prove that, under good conditions, the region of attraction of the externology is the region of attraction of S. In some cases, the limit of the externology agrees with S and the set of end points of Borsuk-Čech type is related with the connected components of S. Moreover, the omega-representable end points of Borsuk-Čech type are related to the fixed points of S, and the basins of end points, under suitable conditions, correspond to basins of fixed points. The basin of a representable end point of Steenrod type is related to the immediate

component of the basin of a fixed point. The basins of omega-representable end points of Brown-Grossman type are related to the basins of periodic points which are contained in S.

It is worth pointing out that the open neighborhood of a subset S on a manifold can also be taken as a resolution (in the sense of shape theory) of S and this fact gives the possibility of applying techniques of shape theory, like shape Conley index (see [19]), algebraic characterization of shape equivalences, et cetera. We can also use these externologies/resolutions to compare techniques and results coming from exterior homotopy theory and from shape theory. For instance, the use of exterior spaces can also be used for the study of the exterior homotopy type of attractors and repellers of discrete and continuous flows and to compare them with their corresponding shapes, see [15, 16, 20, 21].

The study of semi-flows of the form (M, h), where M is a manifold and $h\colon M \to M$ is a branched covering, can be also analyzed with the techniques developed in this paper, by either taking externologies given by open neighborhoods of suitable subsets of periodic points or taking the right-absorbing externology. Many questions arise, such as the study of Brown-Grossman end points associated to points in the singular subset of the branched covering. A particular case of this study is the dynamics of a rational map on the Riemann sphere and the study of the structure of the corresponding Julia set. The advantage of our method is that the techniques based on topologies and externologies can be applied to any continuous map without having differentiability or analyticity conditions on the semi-flow map.

The discretization processes (the first return Poincaré map, the discretization of a continuous semi-flow, ...) and anti-discretization constructions (suspension, prolongation and telescopes, see [6]) can also be analyzed by means of externologies associated to continuous and discrete semi-flows. This will permit us to connect the theory of basins of end points developed in [11] for continuous flows with the basins of end points of discrete semi-flows.

Finally, it is important to say that the problem of sensibility with respect to the initial conditions can be studied by taking the externology of open subsets that capture the "future part" of "tubes" generated by trajectories of neighborhoods at each point.

References

[1] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, AMS, Colloquium Publications, vol. 9, 1927.

[2] A. Del Río, L. J. Hernández and M. T. Rivas Rodríguez, *S-Types of global towers of spaces an exterior spaces*, Appl. Cat. Struct., **17** no. 3, 287–301 (2009).

[3] D. Edwards and H. Hastings, *Čech and Steenrod homotopy theories with applications to geometric topology*, Lecture Notes in Math., **542**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976.

[4] J. I. Extremiana, L. J. Hernández and M. T. Rivas, *An isomorphism theorem of the Hurewicz Type in the proper homotopy category*, Fund. Math., **132**, 195–214 (1989).

[5] J. I. Extremiana, L. J. Hernández and M. T. Rivas, *Postnikov factorizations at infinity*, Top. and its Appl., **153**, 370–393 (2005).

[6] J. M. Fernández-Cestau, L. J. Hernández-Paricio, M. T. Rivas-Rodríguez, *Prolongations, Suspensions and Telescopes*, preprint, 2014.

[7] H. Freudenthal, *Über die Enden topologisher Räume und Gruppen*, Math. Zeith., **53**, 692–713 (1931).

[8] J. M. García Calcines, Homotopía propia simplicial, Tesis, La Laguna, 1998.

[9] J. M. García Calcines, M. García Pinillos and L. J. Hernández, *A closed simplicial model category for proper homotopy and shape theories*, Bull. Aus. Math. Soc., **57** no. 2, 221–242 (1998).
[10] J. M. García Calcines, M. García Pinillos and L. J. Hernández, *Closed simplicial model structures for exterior and proper homotopy*, Appl. Cat. Struct., **12**, no. 3, 225–243 (2004).
[11] J. M. García Calcines, L. J. Hernández and M. T. Rivas Rodríguez, *Limit and end functors of dynamical systems via exterior spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **20**, 937–959 (2013).
[12] J. M. García Calcines, L. J. Hernández and M. T. Rivas Rodríguez, *A completion construction for continuous dynamical systems*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis (2014).
[13] M. García Pinillos, L. J. Hernández Paricio and M. T. Rivas Rodríguez, *Exact sequences and closed model categories*, Appl. Cat. Struct., **18**, no. 4, 343–375 (2010).
[14] M. Marañón, *Exterior discrete semi-flows*, preprint, 2014.
[15] M. A. Morón, F. R. Ruiz del Portal, *A note about the shape of attractors of discrete semi-dynamical systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134**, 2165–2167 (2006).
[16] M. A. Morón, J. J. Sánchez Gabites, J. M. R. Sanjurjo, *Topology and dynamics of unstable attractors*, Fund. Math., **197**, 239–252 (2007).
[17] H. Poincaré, *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*, Paris, Gauthier-Villars et fils, 1892-99, (1892).
[18] H. Poincaré, *Mémoire sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle*, Handbook of Algebraic Topology, Chapter 3, 127–167 (1995).
[19] J. W. Robbin and D. Salamon, *Dynamical systems, shape theory and the Conley index*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **8** (Charles Conley Memorial Volume), 375–393 (1988).
[20] J. J. Sánchez Gabites, *Dynamical systems and shapes*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A: Matemáticas (RACSAM), **102**, no. 1, 127–160 (2008).
[21] J. M. Sanjurjo, *Stability, attraction and shape; a topological study of flows*, Lecture Notes in Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 12, 93–122 (2011).

Dto. de Matemática Fundamental, Universidad de La Laguna, 38271 LA LAGUNA.
E-mail address: jmgarcal@ull.es

Dpto. de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26004 LOGROÑO.
E-mail address: luis_javier.hernandez@unirioja.es

Dpto. de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26004 LOGROÑO.
E-mail address: miguel.maranon@alum.unirioja.es

Dpto. de Matemáticas y Computación, Universidad de La Rioja, 26004 LOGROÑO.
E-mail address: maria_teresa.rivas@unirioja.es